III. ~~Election of 1860:Original Issue: Should slavery be outlawed?~~

Dred Scott vs. Sandford—did living in a free state for 7 years make him a free man?
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1. Northern View
   1. African Americans should be counted as citizens.
   2. Living in a free territory made Dred Scott a free man
   3. Congress can make laws banning slavery in territories
2. Southern View
   1. Scott had NO right to sue his master
   2. He should still be a slave
   3. Congress cannot make laws that deny people the use of “their property”—4th Amendment
3. Skip
4. What really happened?
   1. African Americans are not citizens
   2. Scott is still a slave under Missouri Law
   3. The Missouri Compromise is unconstitutional because Congress doesn’t have power to ban slavery
5. **Election of 1860: Original Issue: Should slavery be outlawed?**
   1. Northern View
      1. Slavery is illegal
      2. Secession should illegal
      3. Wanted to keep Union together
   2. Southern View
      1. Slavery should be allowed
      2. Secession should be allowed
      3. “South’s way of life must be preserved; we will secede if we lose our rights”
   3. Skip
   4. What really happened?
      1. Lincoln would not allow slavery to expand any further
      2. South Carolina seceded from the Union—followed by 10 other states
      3. Lincoln said he would keep the Union together at any cost.
      4. Rebels opened fire on Ft. Sumter beginning the Civil War